196

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-2181-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 31, 2025

ISHWAR CHANDER AND ANOTHER .....Petitioners

Versus

SH ANURAG RASTOGI IAS AND OTHERS .....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Arun Beniwal, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

****

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

Mr. C.G. Rajanikanthan, IAS, D.G., Treasury and Accounts appears in-person and submits that vide order dated 25.01.2025, the benefit have been accorded in favour of the petitioners and the requisite amount in this regard shall be released to them within a period of two weeks from today followed by release of consequential retiral benefits in additional two weeks.

In view of the aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

Rule discharged.

However, in case the needful is not done within the aforementioned period, as per the undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring/concerned Officer would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

31.01.2025 **(HARKESH MANUJA)**
Tejwinder **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	*Yes/No*
Whether Reportable	*Yes/No*

TEJWINDER SINGH
2025.02.03 09:54
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document