



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(138)

CWP No. 5796 of 2025

Date of Decision : 28.04.2025

Prem Krishan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI***

Present: Mr. S.S. Antal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Ms. Manreet Kaur, Advocate for  
Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)**

1. In the present petition, the claim of the petitioner is that he is entitled for release of payment of leave encashment after his retirement and reliance is being placed upon Rule 17 of the Service Rules governing the said issue.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the benefit of leave encashment is not being granted and that too without any valid justification. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the issue raised in the present petition had already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 03.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this



stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3-State and Ms. Manreet Kaur, Advocate for Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Advocate appears on behalf of respondent No. 4. Learned counsel for respondent No. 4 submits that in case, the representation dated 03.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for respondent No. 4, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

**April 28, 2025**  
*kanchan*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes*

*Whether reportable : No*