

CRM-M-5071-2025

-1-

2025:PHHC:024576



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

292

**CRM-M-5071-2025  
Date of decision :19.02.2025**

KASHMIR SINGH ALIAS SONU

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... RESPONDENTS

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT**

\*\*\*

Present :- Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to quash the impugned order dated 20.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar in FIR No.32 dated 26.03.2021, under Section 22 of NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station



Khalichiyan, District Amritsar (Rural), whereby the bail of the petitioner was cancelled and the bail bonds and surety bonds were ordered to be forfeited to the State and the petitioner was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants of arrest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was falsely involved in the FIR, which was registered on 26.03.2021 and thereafter, he was ordered to be released on regular bail vide order dated 20.05.2021 (Annexure P-2). Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the Trial Court and never misused the concession of bail, however, he could not appear before the Trial Court on 20.09.2024, due to noting of wrong date. Due to non-appearance of the petitioner, the Trial Court had cancelled the bail and the bail bonds and surety bonds were ordered to be forfeited to the State and the petitioner was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants of arrest. Learned counsel for the petitioner next contends that the petitioner is ready to surrender before the Court and shall join the trial proceedings. He further contends that the petitioner shall appear on each and every date of hearing, before the Trial Court and shall not absent himself during the Court proceedings. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the recovery of contraband was non-commercial in nature.



3. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner had not appeared intentionally before the Trial Court and there is no illegality in the impugned order dated 20.09.2024 passed by the Court below and the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. It is not in dispute that that the petitioner was on bail earlier and was regularly appearing before the Trial Court, however, on one date i.e. 20.09.2024, he could not appear before the Trial Court. Thus, taking a lenient view of the matter, the petitioner is permitted to surrender before the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate within a period of two weeks from today and on his surrender, he shall be admitted to bail subject to furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned Court.

6. At the time of furnishing of bail bonds, the petitioner shall also file an affidavit before the concerned Court that he shall continue to appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing and shall not absent himself during the court proceedings, except with prior permission of the Court.



7. The Trial Court/Duty Magistrate shall also be at liberty to impose any other conditions, as it deems fit in the peculiar facts and circumstances of case.

8. The petition stands allowed in the above terms.

**( N. S. SHEKHAWAT )  
JUDGE**

**19.02.2025**

*vipin*

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes**  
**Whether Reportable : No**