



CRR(F)-1201-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(115)

CRR(F)-1201-2025

Date of Decision:- 21.08.2025

SUMANJIT KAUR

.....petitioner

Versus

SIMRAN SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Ashish Nagar, Advocate for the petitioner.

**ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed challenging the order dated 11.07.2025 passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Camp Court at Balachaur, whereby a petition filed by the minor children of the petitioner under Section 125 Cr.P.C. was allowed and the petitioner was directed to pay a sum of Rs. 12000/- (Rs.4,000/- per month each to the respondents) from the date of filing of the petition until the date of attaining majority by the children. Apart from the above, litigation expenses of Rs.7500/- have also been directed to be paid.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on a specific query by the Court as to what expenditure had been incurred by the petitioner for the well-being of the children during last more than six years, the counsel could not able to point out or demonstrate even a single instance of such expenditure, despite the fact that petitioner has received all the benefits from the Army authorities after the demise of her husband. The minor



CRR(F)-1201-2025

children are presently residing with their grandfather. Though counsel submits that a petition for custody of the children is pending. However, a mere filing of the said petition without any positive effort by the petitioner to seek the custody of minors i.e. either by visiting the children or seeking visitation rights, clearly demonstrate that the petitioner merely wants to enjoy the money, perks, and pensionary benefits received from the authorities on the demise of the husband, without discharging her responsibilities towards minor children, as a mother.

3. This Court does not find any error apparent in the order passed by the Court below. A mother cannot shirk her responsibility of taking care of her children and place the entire burden upon an 80 years old man, who happens to be the grandfather of the minor children.

4. Finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

**(ALOK JAIN)**

**JUDGE**

**August 21, 2025**

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether Reportable:- Yes/No