



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

281

CR-2470-2022 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 18.03.2025

Suresh and others

...Petitioners

V/s

Subash and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate and  
Mr. Shivraj Malik, Advocate, for respondents No.1, 3 to 7.

\*\*\*

**VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)**

The present revision petition is directed against the order dated 12.02.2020 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Court of learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Rohtak, vide which the application filed by petitioners-defendants under Order VII Rule 11 CPC for the rejection of plaint was dismissed.

2. Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.1, 3 to 7 and has filed his power of attorney, which is taken on record.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the respondents/plaintiffs submits at the Bar that the suit itself stands decided as a result of which the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he has no information about the same. He, however, submits that in case the suit has been decided, he would have no objection if the revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.



5. In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, in case it is found that the suit has actually not been decided, the parties would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for the revival of the present revision petition.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**March 18, 2025**

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No