



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

CR-2785-2025

Date of decision : 13.05.2025

Deepa Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Anil Sharma

... Respondent

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL***

Present: Mr. Rahul Verma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Divyadeep Walia, Advocate  
for the respondent.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the order dated 02.05.2025 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Principal Judge, Family Court, Panchkula, vide which the respondent has been allowed to meet the minor child namely Divyaakash Sharma.

2. On 07.05.2025, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present:- Mr. Rahul Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.*

\*\*\*\*

*Inter alia contends that the petitioner would limit her challenge in the present revision petition to two aspects. The first aspect being that since the minor child is studying in school, thus, instead of the father meeting the child today, the*



*father may meet the child on this Saturday i.e., 10.05.2025. Second aspect which has been argued by learned counsel for the petitioner is to the effect that passing of the present order should not be construed as a precedent in the proceedings, as an interlocutory application filed for visitation/custody of the child is not maintainable in a petition filed by the respondent-husband under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act 1955 for restitution of conjugal rights. In support of his arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon **judgment dated 08.12.2022** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Transfer Petition (Civil) No.964 of 2021 titled as Priyanka Vs. Santosh Kumar.***

*Notice of motion for 09.05.2025.*

*To be shown in the urgent list.*

*Liberty is granted to the petitioner to serve the respondent through his counsel in the trial Court as well as through dasti process.*

*Till the next date of hearing, the petitioner need not produce the minor child in the office of District Legal Services Authority, Panchkula as directed vide impugned order.*

*07.05.2025”*

3. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that the respondent-husband is ready to amicably settle the matter.

4. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for the respondent have reached a consensus and with their consent, the present petition is disposed of with the following directions/orders:-

i) The petitioner Deepa Sharma would produce the minor child Divyaakash Sharma in the office of the District Legal Services Authority, Panchkula on 17.05.2025 from 02:00 pm to



03:00 pm. A retainer Advocate would be appointed by the Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Panchkula to assist the parties during the meeting.

ii) The respondent-husband would give Rs.5100/- in cash and some gifts to his son.

iii) The present order would not be construed as a precedent in the present proceedings in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Transfer Petition (Civil) no.964 of 2021* titled as "*Priyanka vs. Santosh Kumar*" *decided on 08.12.2022.*

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

**May 13, 2025.**

*Davinder Kumar*

Whether speaking / reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No