



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

CWP-22315-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.01.2025

Manmohan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer made in the present petition is for quashing the impugned order as well as seniority list dated 31.05.2019, Annexure P-11 (colly), whereby the benefit of past service has been given to those, who were also appointed by way of transfer vide order dated 07.08.2017, Annexure P-8, over and above the petitioner, who was appointed by the same process, however, on 19.07.2017, despite the condition No.5 in their respective appointment orders being common that no benefit of seniority shall be given.

2. Learned counsel submits that the private respondents have been further promoted based on the same as Senior Assistant on 18.09.2019 while the petitioner on 04.01.2021 and ought to have been from the same date, if not prior thereto. No such justification coming forth in the response to the writ petition.

3. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel on instructions from Deepak Sharma, Assistant (Admin) for FCR states that the matter would be revisited and a decision afresh

would be rendered, taking note of the aforesaid submissions, within a period of 4 months in accordance with law, which satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reason, whereupon the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

23.01.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No