

2025:PHHC:063804-DB



CWP-23299-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.05.2025

Sanjeev Kapoor and others

..Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**Present: Mr. Karan Ranjha, Advocate for
Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. Vide order dated 11.10.2017, status quo with regard to services of the petitioners was directed to be informed.

2. It is informed by learned counsel appearing for the State that so far as the policy and clause impugned in the present writ petition, the same was examined by a Coordinate Bench and policy of regularization dated 18.06.2014, was quashed. The same is now under challenge before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Special Leave Petition (Civil) 31566/2018, State of Haryana and others v. Yogesh Tyagi and another**, wherein also status quo has been directed to be maintained

3. Considering the aforesaid facts, we are of the view that there is no purpose in keeping the present case pending before this Court as the fate

of the petitioners would have to be governed by the judgment to be passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Yogesh Tyagi case (supra).

4. Since, there is a status quo order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the said case and in the present case too there was a status quo order passed while issuing notice of motion on 11.10.2017, we dispose of this writ petition making the interim order dated 11.10.2017 as absolute and the same would be subject to the final decision by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Yogesh Tyagi case (supra).

5. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

14.05.2025
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	:	Yes/No