



CWP-10075-2025 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

CWP-10075-2025

Date of Decision: 07.04.2025

Paramjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Sunpreet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J.

1. The prayer in the present writ petition is for quashing of notice dated 24.03.2025 (Annexure P-2) issued by respondent No.5 (Building Examiner (Technical) Officer, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana), vide which, the information regarding removal of unauthorized construction has been sent to the petitioner. Further 15 days time is granted to the petitioner to appear personally in the office of Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana, along with approved map/compromise fee/proof of ownership i.e. copy of sale-deed and submit her defence.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is living in a house measuring 81 Square Yards situated at Salemtabri, Ludhiana, which she and her husband purchased in the year 2011 and upon receipt of impugned notice dated 24.03.2025 (Annexure P-2), she has



already filed reply dated 03.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) within the stipulated period of 15 days granted through impugned notice dated 24.03.2025. He further contends that without considering the reply, the petitioner is being harassed by the police authorities. Petitioner has apprehension that the authorities might seal the premises or demolish the alleged unauthorized construction.

3. Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Sartaj Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab, and Mr. Parambir Singh, Advocate, are present in Court on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and respondents No.4 & 5, respectively. At the outset, they submit, for the competent authority is in seizin of the concerns/grievances of the petitioner not only the response submitted by the petitioner shall be taken into account, but she shall also be heard before any formal orders are passed. Accordingly, they submit that let the petition be disposed of, for the present, to enable the respondents-authorities to examine the matter and pass necessary orders, in accordance with law. They fairly submit that till any such orders are passed, no adverse action shall be initiated against the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner is agreeable to the course suggested by learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.4 & 5 and submits that let this petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by them.



CWP-10075-2025 (O&M)

-3-

5. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

6. This Court is sanguine that the respondents-authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed at the earliest.

7. Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the claim/grievance of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

07.04.2025
Virrendra

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No
Whether reportable: Yes / No