

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54894-2025  
Reserved on: 01.10.2025  
Pronounced on: 15.10.2025

Rakesh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present: Mr. Shashikant, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**ANOOP CHITKARA, J.**

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
35	03.10.2018	BPTP, Distt. Faridabad	63 & 65 of Copy Right Act, 1957

1. Challenging the order of cancellation of bail and issuance of non-bailable warrants, vide order dated 06.11.2024 passed by Learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Faridabad due to the default in appearances before the trial court, the petitioner has come up before this Court under section 528 BNSS, 2023.

2. Counsel for the petitioner contends that the non-appearance was unintentional, without any endeavor to delay the trial, and due to factors beyond the petitioner's control. Counsel for the petitioner submits that to show his bona fide per order dated 29.09.2025, the petitioner had deposited a sum of Rs.5,000/- with the Poor Patient Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Rohtak.

3. A perusal of the petition does not make out any case on merits. However, the criminal justice system must not be hampered or suffer because of the petitioner. The primary object of service is to secure the accused's presence in the trial. The petitioner has approached this court on its own, establishing the bona fide at this stage. Moreover, still trial is fixed for awaiting presence of petitioner and arrest warrant has been issued against him.

4. Without commenting on the case's merits, and in the facts and circumstances peculiar to this case because petitioner himself approached this Court and it is not a case that police arrest him, the goals of justice would be served, without addressing the

**CRM-M-54894-2025**

merits of the case. Additionally, a balanced approach would serve as a catalyst and incentive, accelerating the process and bringing the guilty to justice.

5. Given above, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the concerned court **on or before 31.10.2025.**

6. It is clarified that if the petitioner appears before the concerned court and files an application for bail, concerned Court shall release him on bail on furnishing usual bonds to its own satisfaction. It is clarified that if the petitioner fails to appear before the concerned court within the time limit specified in this order, this order shall stand recalled automatically under Sections 403 read with 528 BNSS, 2023 (Corresponding to 362 read with 482 CrPC, 1973), without any further reference to this Court.

7. It is clarified that this bail order shall not be considered as a blanket bail order in above captioned FIR or any other matter. There shall be stay on petitioner's arrest till 31.10.2025 at 5 p.m.

8. Any observation made hereinabove is neither an expression of opinion on the merits of the case nor shall the trial Court advert to these comments.

9. It is clarified that this order shall not construed as a blanket bail in any other case.

10. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer wants to verify the authenticity, they can also verify it and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.*

11. **Petition allowed** to the extent and subject to the conditions mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, are disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE**

**15.10.2025**

*Jyoti-II*

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No.