



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

219

CRM-M No.25240 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 14.05.2025

Harpreet Singh @ Raja

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Isha Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. R.P. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab.

H.S. Grewal, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for regular bail filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) in case bearing FIR No.126 dated 04.08.2023 under Sections 22, 25, 27-A, 29, 61, 85 of NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Kamboj, District Amritsar Rural.

2. The case of the prosecution is that one Harsharan Singh was apprehended and 500 tablets of Tramadol alongwith Rs.20,000/- were recovered from him. In the meanwhile, during interrogation, the said Harsharan Singh has named the present petitioner in his disclosure statement. However, no recovery has been effected from the petitioner. Apart from the disclosure statement, no other evidence has been placed on record against the petitioner in the present case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he has been falsely implicated in the present case and has been arrested merely on the basis of disclosure statement made by allegedly his co-accused Harsharan Singh. He further contends that he was arrested on 18.12.2024 and is in custody since then.



4. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the grant of concession of regular bail by way of filing custody certificate dated 13.05.2025. He further states that the petitioner is involved in another FIR No.3 dated 10.01.2023 under Sections 21, 29 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Kamboh, and was involved on the basis of disclosure statement in that case also, however, he is on bail in the said case. He further states that challan in the present case, has been presented and charges have been framed on 23.04.2025.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the material placed on record.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for a period of 04 months and 21 days and further, the challan stands filed and charges have been framed on 23.04.2025 only, the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time and continuous detention of the petitioner pending trial, would not serve the ends of justice. In the present case, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of regular bail in the present case, on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/ Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned. The pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

9. It is however, made clear that in case during his bail, the petitioner indulges in any offence, the State shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

14th May, 2025

Sonia Puri

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No