

2025:PHHC:119984



506

FAO-3350-2004 (O&M)

Sanjeev Kumar Vs. Lalita and others

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr.Paul S.Saini, Advocate with
Mr.Navpreet Singh, Asstt.Manager and Mr.Suvir Dewan,
Advocate for the respondent-Insurance Company.

On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:

“None is present on behalf of the appellant today.
Same was the position on the last date of hearing.

Notice issued to the appellant has been received back
with the report that address of the appellant is
incomplete/insufficient.

Let a specific notice be issued to the counsel for the
appellant stating that in case he fails to appear in person
before the Lok Adalat on the next date of hearing, the
case/file will be consigned to the record room with liberty to
get it revived as and when required.

Adjourned to 04.09.2025.”

Report of the registry is as under:

“Notice/letter issued to Sh.Gautam Nariala, Advocate
received back unserved with report that the name of
the Advocate is not mentioned in the Bar Directory.
Landline number traced from the old record could not
be contracted.”

In view of the above-stated position, it is evident that it is not
possible to contact the appellant or his counsel. Therefore, this appeal

2025:PHHC:119984



FAO-3350-2004 (O&M)

-2-

stands disposed of and is consigned to the record room with liberty to get it revived as and when required. Copy of this order be supplied/sent to the counsel/parties.

Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JASBIR SINGH)
PRESIDENT

(B.C.GUPTA)
MEMBER

04.09.2025
Meenu