

2025:PHHC:009089



154

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No. 2245 of 2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 22.01.2025**

M/s. Randhawa Tiles and Sand Suppliers, Adda  
Gillawali, G.T. Road Batala, District Gurdaspur  
through its proprietor Rajwinder Singh

..... Petitioner

**Versus**

Pargat Singh, Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Block Fatehgarh Chudian, District Gurdaspur

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate and  
Mr. Geeteshwar Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Athar Ahmad, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)**

**CM-11515-CII-2024**

Application is **allowed**, as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions. Exemption from filing the certified / typed copies of Annexures P-1 & P-2 is granted.

**MAIN CASE**

Learned counsel for the respondent has filed compliance report by way of affidavit dated 22.01.2025 of Ms. Paramjit Kaur, BDPO, Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur (Punjab), which is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to the opposite side.

[2] By referring to the aforesaid compliance report, learned counsel for the respondent submits that though the bills of Rs. 60 lakhs

(approx.) have been raised by the petitioner, however, as per the respondent, Rs. 43,33,222/- is payable to the petitioner, out of which, a sum of Rs.35,44,111/- already stands released in favour of the petitioner and he, further on instructions from Ms. Paramjit Kaur, BDPO, Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur, submits that the remaining amount of Rs. 7,88,111/- shall be released in favour of the petitioner within a period of one week from today.

[3] In view of the above undertaking, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition any further.

[4] **Dismissed as not pressed.**

[5] However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring / concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

[6] Also, liberty is granted to the petitioner to raise its claim in appropriate proceedings regarding the remaining amount, if any.

[7] Rule stands discharged.

[8] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

**January 22, 2025**

*'dk kamra'*

**( HARKESH MANUJA )  
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>