



252

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-57379-2024
DECIDED ON: 10.01.2025

HARVINDER SINGH @ HARWINDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Edward Augustine George, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

None for the complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

In compliance of the order dated 10.12.2024, a report dated 09.01.2025 of the Additional District & Sessions Judge, Patiala has been received, wherein it is intimated that bail bonds have not been furnished before that Court being the trial Court.

However, the said report is silent about the proceedings conducted on the date fixed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the copy of order dated 04.12.2024, whereby it is evident that the examination-in-cheif of PW-1 Parveen Begam was deferred for want of video by moving application under Section 311 Cr.P.C., and the case was adjourned for 18.12.2024 for filing application under Section 311 Cr.P.C.

On 18.12.2024, the case again stands adjourned to 15.01.2024 for the same purpose.

It is expected that the trial Court shall proceed with the matter expeditiously without any further delay and also take into consideration the observations made by this Court vide order dated 10.12.2024, whereby it was observed that any such application under Section 311 Cr.P.C., moved by either of the parties shall be adjudicated within next three effective hearings.

The present petition is disposed off, in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

10.01.2025

Sham

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*