



CWP-3645-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(130)

CWP-3645-2025

Date of Decision : 10.02.2025

Lakhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to provide the adequate security cover of PSOs, to the petitioner, as he has great threat to his life and liberty.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made the representation dated 16.12.2024 (Annexure P-9), to the respondents No.2 and 3. However, neither his representation has been decided, nor he has been provided with the security, as asked for, which propelled him to file the instant petition, seeking mandamus upon the respondents concerned, to take apt steps.

3. At this stage, without going into the veracity of the threat perceptions, as cast under the instant petition, this Court would deem it fit and appropriate to pass a mandamus upon the competent respondent amongst the respondents, to evaluate the threat perceptions, in view of the SOPs adopted



CWP-3645-2025

2

by the State of Punjab, dated 30.05.2024, in providing police protection on threat perception, as well as the Security Policy, 2013 (as amended upto date), and to decide the representation dated 16.12.2024 (Annexure P-9), after providing due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

4. The exercise (supra), shall be carried out within 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order/judgment.

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

February 10, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No