



*LPA-103-2024 (O&M)*

Page 1 of 2

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**LPA-103-2024 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 12.03.2025**

ROBIN KUMAR AND OTHERS

. . . . Appellants

**Vs.**

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondent

\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate  
for the appellants.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)**

1. The appellants in the present appeal assail the order dated 11.08.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge whereby equi-percentile method adopted for the purpose of declaring result was upheld. The challenge was initially made to the percentile method. The State accepted the contentions raised by the respective petitioners and revised the result based on equi-percentile method.
2. In view thereto, further selections have been completed and appointments have been made. A bunch of writ petitions was decided on 11.08.2023, all 61 in number, while only one LPA has been filed by the appellants in relation to one of the writ petitions.
3. Since the order dated 11.08.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge has attained finality with respect to similarly placed other writ petitioners, the issue raised in the present appeal has become purely academic and need not be gone into.



***LPA-103-2024 (O&M)***

**Page 2 of 2**

4. LPA is dismissed accordingly.
5. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)**  
**JUDGE**

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)**  
**JUDGE**

**12.03.2025**

*Mohit goyal*

- |                               |               |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | <b>Yes/No</b> |
| 2. Whether reportable?        | <b>Yes/No</b> |