

2025:PHHC:110402



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

CRM M-24464 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.08.2025

Anil Kumar @ Bambo

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.13 dated 19.01.2024 registered under Section 22 of the NDPS Act at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and nothing is to be recovered from him. The petitioner has been arrested on 19.01.2024 and report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the trial is likely to take long time and no useful purpose will be served by further detention of the petitioner in custody. Thus, it is prayed that

he may be released on regular bail. In support of his contentions, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon judgments in (i) **CRM-M-37684-2021, Balwinder Singh vs. State of Punjab**, decided on 14.02.2022; (ii) **CRM-M-8212-2022, Tajinder Singh vs. State of Punjab**, decided on 03.03.2022 and (iii) **CRM-M-35186-2016, Manjit Kaur @ Jeeto vs. State of Punjab**, decided on 01.12.2016.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, custody period of the petitioner and also the fact that the quantity of alleged contraband is marginally above the 'commercial quantity', but without commenting on merits of the case, I am of the considered view that the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail.

6. Therefore, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

21.08.2025
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No