



261

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-21318-2025
Date of Decision:29.04.2025**

GURPREET SINGH ALIAS BANTU

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Mr. Mohit Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.573 dated 16.08.2023, registered under Sections 18, 27-A, 29 of NDPS Act, 1985 (Section 27-A, & 29 of NDPS added later on), Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

2. As per the case of the prosecution, on 16.08.2023, the police had taken a truck in possession, which was found to be carrying contraband. Yashpal Singh, co-accused was driving the truck and 20 kgs and 800 grams of opium was recovered from him. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR nor any evidence has been collected during the course of evidence against him. He further contends that



the petitioner was wrongly arrested in the present case on 26.03.2025 and no recovery was effected from him. By referring to the orders Annexures P-5 to P-9, learned counsel submits that Jujhar Singh, Gurpritam Singh, Gurpreet Singh @ Goldy, Pushpa Bhujel and Yashpal Singh, main accused have been granted the concession of bail by this Court and Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. As per the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, Jujhar Singh, Gurpritam Singh, Gurpreet Singh @ Goldy, Pushpa Bhujel and Yashpal Singh, accused have been admitted to bail by this Court and Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and the petitioner is par with them. Moreover, nothing has to be recovered from the petitioner. Thus, further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

29.04.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No