



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

**RSA-2048-1999
Decided on:19.05.2025**

General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Rewari and others

. . . Appellants

Versus

Dhanpat Singh

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

None for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The respondent-plaintiff had filed the suit for declaration to the effect that the plaintiff was entitled to cross the Efficiency Bar w.e.f. 01.05.1990 and the order dated 07.09.1990 and 27.05.1991 vide which the Efficiency Bar of the plaintiff was stopped was illegal, null and void. The suit was filed in the year 1992 and was dismissed by the trial Court. The First Appellate Court however allowed the appeal filed by the respondent-plaintiff. On 21.11.2001, while admitting the matter, the interim order dated 07.07.1999 whereby operation of the impugned order was stayed, was continued.

2. On the last several dates, none had appeared on behalf of the respondent.

3. Today also, none has appeared on behalf of the respondent.

4. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in view of the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present appeal be disposed of at this stage but liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the appeal, in case, the respondent files an execution or approaches the concerned department to seek implementation of the impugned judgment.



5. In view of the above, present appeal is disposed of at this stage with the aforesaid liberty.

6. Liberty is also granted to the respondent to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.

19.05.2025

Mehak

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No