



310

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-741-2025

Date of Decision: February 05, 2025

SATPAL AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Bhim Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, DAG, Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present civil revision petition is for issuance of direction to the learned Executing Court to decide the execution No.378 of 2022 dated 02.03.202, titled as "Satpal and Anr. Vs. State of Haryana and Others" within a time bound period and in terms of orders dated 22.04.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Civil Appeal-1659-1660 of 2021.

2. Admittedly, certain land owned by the petitioners was acquired vide notifications dated 11.02.2000 and 19.02.2010, issued under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (in short '1894 Act') respectively, followed by an award dated 14.06.2010, whereby, the petitioners and other persons were granted compensation to the tune of Rs.60 lacs per acre. Feeling dissatisfied, the land owners filed petition under Section 18 of the Act, which was decided by the reference Court vide award dated 23.05.2018 enhancing the compensation to the tune of Rs.2,49,03,504/- per acre.

3. Later, the petitioner filed Execution petition No.378 of 2022 on 02.03.2022 before learned Addl. District Judge, Gurugram and the same has been adjourned to 08.04.2025 for making necessary payment of compensation to the petitioners, however, till, date, the enhanced amount of compensation has not been disbursed to the petitioners and other land owners.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners being aggrieved of the non-disbursal of amount of compensation has filed the present revision petition for issuance of direction to the Executing Court concerned to decide the execution application expeditiously, in a time bound manner.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.

6. A perusal of record shows that execution application (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioners and other land owners on 02.03.2022, is still pending and the petitioner is waiting to get his amount of compensation on the basis of order dated 23.05.2018.

7. In **“Rahul S. Shah Vs. Jinendra Kumar Gandhi and others (2021) 6 SCC 418”**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held as follow:-

“That the executing Court must dispose of execution proceeding within 6 months from the date of filing which may be extended only by recording reasons in writing for such delay.”

8. In view of the above, the Court of Additional District Judge, Gurugram (the Executing Court) is requested to dispose of the execution petition bearing No.378-2022 as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of next 3 months from today, as any further

delay is going to cause serious prejudice to the rights of the petitioners-land owners.

9. It is made clear that nothing said herein-above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the execution proceedings.

10. The present petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

11. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

05.02.2025

Tejwinder

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>