



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(233)

CWP No. 2589 of 2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 05.03.2025

Punjab Tourism Development Corporation Limited

...Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Jalandhar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Triptjeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dharam Pal Chhachhia, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the parties agree that in case the petitioner pays a sum of ₹1 lac to the respondent-workman as a full and final settlement, the respondent-workman will not claim the benefit under the impugned Award dated 11.09.2000 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said offer has been accepted by the respondent-workman and a sum of ₹1 lac will be deposited in favour of the legal heirs of the respondent-workman within a period of eight weeks from today in his account as the respondent-workman has already died.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have already amicably decided the issue between themselves, the relief granted to the respondent-workman by the Labour Court in impugned Award is modified that instead of the relief granted therein, the same will be treated as modified as a lump



sum compensation of ₹1 lac to be paid to the legal heirs of the respondent-workman. Same be released within a period of four weeks from today.

4. The present petition is disposed of in above terms.
5. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 05, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No