



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CWP-4086-2019

Date of Decision: 26.08.2025

HARINDER SINGH DHALIWAL

...Petitioner

Versus

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL AND ORS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with  
Ms. Gurmeet Kaur Gill, Advocate, for respondent Nos.2, 3 & 6.

Ms. Neha Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.5 and 7.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)**

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the order dated 08.01.2019 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, by which, the claim of the petitioner that he should have been absorbed in the Central Bureau of Investigation, rather than being repatriated to his parent department i.e. Railway Protection Force, has been declined.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as of now, the petitioner has already retired from service and the present petition has been rendered infructuous. No one appears on behalf of the petitioner to oppose the same.

3. Keeping in view the above, it can safely be presumed that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the present remedy as he has already



retired.

4. Dismissed for non prosecution especially when no one had appeared on the last date of hearing also.

**( HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI )  
JUDGE**

**August 26, 2025**  
*harish*

**( VIKAS SURI )  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No