



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CR-3401-2025

Date of Decision.:29.05.2025

Sanjeev Kumar Jindal @ Tony

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Raksha Devi and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA


Present:- Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner herein is one of the defendants in civil suit bearing No.CS-1289-2025 titled as "*Raksha Devi and Others vs. Sanjeev Kumar Jindal @ Tony and others*" pending before learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Bathinda. He is aggrieved by the order dated 05.05.2025 (*Annexure P-9*) passed by the trial Court, whereby his application for framing of the additional issues has been declined.

2. It is contended by learned counsel that issue with regard to the suit property having been purchased with the nucleus of the joint Hindu Family funds has not been framed owing to inadvertence and therefore, specific issue in this regard was required to be framed.

3. It has been observed by the trial Court in the impugned order that issues were earlier framed on 07.05.2016 (*Annexure P-3*) and then on 14.08.2019 (*Annexure P-5*). At that time no other issue was claimed by any of the party. Besides, the issue No.1 to the effect that 'whether plaintiff is entitled to declaration as prayed for', is comprehensive issue and in order to adjudicate the said issue, the Court will have to give a finding as to

**CR-3401-2025****-2-**

whether the plaintiffs, defendant No.2 and proforma defendants constituted a Joint Hindu Family or not.

4. To the specific query put by this Court, it is disclosed by learned counsel that case is at the stage of rebuttal/arguments. Not only this, the order dated 14.08.2019, whereby issues were framed after amendment of the pleadings would reveal that it is specifically stated in the said order that no other issue arises or claimed. At that time, the petitioner herein did not claim any other issue. The application for framing of the additional issue has been moved when the case has already reached at the final stage.

5. Considering all the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court does not find any perversity or illegality in the impugned order.

No merits. Dismissed.

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

May 29, 2025

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No