

[126] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-2565-2022

Date of Decision :16.12.2022

Banwari Lal ...Petitioner

versus

Mukul Kumar, Director, Food and Supply
Department, Panchkula, District Panchkula ...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Deepika, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in thepetition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 22.08.2022, in CWP-37675-2018in case titled as 'Shiv Kumar and othersversusState of Haryana and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP-37675-2018 was disposed of in the light of stand of learned counsel for the respondent that the objection taken in Annexure A-1 dated 12.12.2019 was hyper technical and was not required to be taken, therefore, claim of the applicant-petitioner(s) would be considered in accordance with lawby treating them as Assistant Food and Supply Officer(s) only.

[3] Learned Asstt. AG, Haryana, has produced copy of order dated 12.12.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner on merit. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, she does not press the instant petition but

prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 12.12.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

16.12.2022

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>