



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

226

IOIN FAO-4683-2012(O&M)

Date of decision:- 22.07.2025

Parhlad Sahni

...Appellant

vs.

Hoshiar Singh and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
for respondent-Insurance Co .

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. As per office report, Sh. Sham Lal Bhalla, learned counsel appearing for the appellant has expired and thereafter letters were written to the appellant to engage another counsel but the same have been received back with the report of 'Insufficient Address'
2. Today also there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.
3. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to move an application to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.
5. Further Insurance Company is directed to disburse the current schedule fee to Mr. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate, pursuant to order dated 18.07.2024 passed in FAO No.1682 of 2007 by this Court, within a period of twenty days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
8. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

22.07.2025

Gaurav Arora

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)

JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned******Yes******Whether reportable******No***