



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31849 of 2025(O&M)

Date of Order:22.09.2025

Parmant Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

**Present: Mr. Bhrigu Dutt Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Hardeep Singh Wadhwa, DAG, Punjab.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, JUDGE

1. Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case vide FIR No.118, dated 16.05.2025, under Sections 115(2), 127(6), 64, 324(4), 351(3) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, Police Sttion Sadar Jalandhar, Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar. This is his first petition for anticipatory bail.

2. Prosecutrix 'M' (name withheld) stated in her complaint that she was 45 years old and had divorced her husband 10 years ago. Her elder son was 25 years old and younger one was 19 years old. She met Parmand Randhawa alias Sonu Randhawa in Krisgethin Gym in May, 2024 and became friends with him. They used to meet each other in the gym and also exchanged their mobile numbers. On 30.06.2024, she went to Canada and continued to speak with Parmand Randhawa alias Sonu Randhawa on the phone. After nine months, when she returned to India, on 03.04.2025, Parmand Randhawa alias Sonu Randhawa came to pick her up at Delhi



Airport and instead of dropping her in the house of her parents, he took her to his house. On the same night, he had forcible physical relations with her under threat of eliminating her son and parents. He showed her his country made pistol and threatened to shoot her. When she told him that she wanted to meet her parents, he thrashed, abused and threatened her. He also got his name tattooed on her right arm and told her to say that he was her husband. On 13.05.2025, he gave her severe beatings, broke her mobile phone, burnt her clothes and stole her diamond ring. He confined her in his house till 13.05.2025 and had physical relations without her consent. On 14.05.2025, when he was away for some work, she managed to escape her and informed her father, who got her medico legally examined and treated.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prosecutrix was a mature lady with two grown up children. He argued that relationship of the prosecutrix with the petitioner was purely consensual in nature and the story regarding forcible physical relations was false and cooked up. He further argued that after meeting the petitioner and during her stay in Canada, prosecutrix was throughout in contact with the petitioner. She herself willingly accompanied the petitioner to his house after she returned to India on 03.04.2025 and stayed with him till 14.05.2025. Had the relationship not been consensual, her family members would have got report registered regarding her absence. He further submitted that the story of physical relations at gun point and theft of diamond ring was also made up with a view to aggravate the offences. It was urged that pursuant to order of this court dated 09.06.2025, the petitioner had joined investigation.

4. Learned State counsel assisted by Mr. Pawan Sharma,



Advocate, for the complainant, has prayed for dismissal of the petition on the ground that though petitioner joined investigation, he did not get recovered country made pistol or diamond ring of the complainant.

5. Petitioner has joined the investigation pursuant to order of this Court dated 09.06.2025. Whether he did, in fact, use a country made pistol to threaten the prosecutrix and committed theft of her diamond ring is a question which would require evidence, to be led at the time of trial.

6. Considering the totality of facts and circumstances of the case, as enumerated above, but without commenting on merits, order dated 09.06.2025, granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to conditions laid down in Section 482(2) Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

22nd September, 2025
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : **Yes/No**
Whether reportable : **Yes/No**