





counsel representing the parties.

5. The respondent (decree-holder) filed a suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell executed by Smt. Amarjit Kaur, the mother of the appellant. The agreement to sell was executed by Smt. Amarjit Kaur on behalf of the appellant. The Court did not grant decree for specific performance of the agreement to sell on the ground that there was no prior permission of the Court to sell the property. The appellant has not been able to show that his mother acted against his interest. The suit property has correctly been attached in order to recover the decretal amount.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.

7. Hence, the appeal is dismissed.

8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

14.05.2025  
neeraj

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No