



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34032-2025

Date of Decision:09.07.2025

Harpreet Singh @ Happy ..Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab ...Respondent

Coram : **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate with
Mr. Himanshu Rajput, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No. 82, dated 05.05.2025, registered under Sections 109,125,115(2),351(2) of B.N.S and Section 25,54,59 of Arms Act, Police Station Chheharta, District Amritsar (Annexure P-1).

2. As per the case set up by the prosecution, the petitioner along with his co-accused had attacked Major Singh. It was alleged that Gurmukh Singh had fired a shot from his pistol at the complainant, however, he managed to escape from the spot, without sustaining any fire arm injury. Learned counsel submits that in fact, the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence at the time of incident and it has been falsely alleged that he had caused an injury on the left bicep of the complainant, with some sharp edged weapon and the said injury is simple in nature. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on

05.05.2025 and is in custody since then. He further contends that the weapon of offence has already been recovered.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, the allegations were mainly levelled against Gurmukh Singh, co-accused, who has already been arrested. Further, weapon of offence has already been recovered. Moreover, it was alleged that the petitioner had caused an injury with sharp edged object on the left bicep of the complainant, however, the same injury has been declared to be simple in nature.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on her furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

09.07.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No