



**RSA-60-1998 (O&M) and
RSA-3306-1997**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(206)

1. **RSA-60-1998 (O&M)**
State of Punjab and others **....Appellants**
Versus
Sukhdev Singh **.....Respondent**
2. **RSA-3306-1997**
The Punjab State and others **....Appellants**
Versus
Hardip Singh **.....Respondents**

Date of Decision: - 08.09.2025

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab,
for the appellants.

Mr. Mukand Gupta, Advocate,
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This order would dispose of two Regular Second Appeals, one being RSA-60-1998 and another being RSA-3306-1997. Both the Regular Second Appeals have been filed by the State of Punjab and in both the said appeals there is no interim order in favour of the State of



**RSA-60-1998 (O&M) and
RSA-3306-1997**

-2-

Punjab.

2. In RSA-60-1998, respondent-Sukhdev Singh had filed a suit for declaration to the effect that the order of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, dated 19.10.1988 vide which his two years approved service had been forfeited and further orders vide which the appeal and revision filed were dismissed were illegal and void. The said suit of the plaintiff was dismissed by the trial Court and in the appeal filed by Sukhdev Singh, the 1st Appellate Court had set aside the impugned orders and had granted liberty to the punishing authority to pass fresh orders. Apparently the suit was filed in the year 1991 and the respondent-Sukhdev Singh would have retired from service and there is no interim order in favour of State of Punjab.

3. In RSA-3306-1997, the respondent-Hardip Singh had filed a suit in the year 1992 for challenging the order dated 07.05.1991 whereby his one year approved service had been forfeited. The suit of the said plaintiff was decreed. The appeal filed therefrom by the State of Punjab was dismissed. In the said case also, there is no interim order in favour of the State of Punjab and the respondent-Hardip Singh would have retired from service.

4. Learned counsel for the appellants in both the appeals has submitted that in view of the above-said subsequent facts and circumstances, the present appeals be disposed of but liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the same in case any cause survives or any cause arises in future.



**RSA-60-1998 (O&M) and
RSA-3306-1997**

-3-

5. In view of the above, the present appeals are disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

September 08, 2025
naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No