



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-40235-2025(O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.09.2025

GURJOT SINGH

....Petitioner

VS

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. V.K. Handa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, PP, U.T., Chandigarh.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 29.07.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No.0042 dated 10.04.2025 registered under Sections 318(4)/61(2) of BNS and Section 24 of the Immigration Act at Police Station Central Sector-17, Chandigarh.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the dispute in the present case is of Rs.2 lac and Kanwal Preet Singh Kamas, co-accused, has already agreed to deposit an amount of Rs.1,40,000/- with the trial Court/Area Magistrate within a period of two weeks. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has voluntarily offered to deposit the remaining amount of Rs.60,000/- within a period of two weeks from today with the trial court/Area Magistrate, just to show his bonafide.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor, UT Chandigarh has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-UT Chandigarh and vehemently opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against



the present petitioner and thus, the present petition is liable to be dismissed.

Heard.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of arresting/investigating officer subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.PC/482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

The petitioner is also directed to deposit a sum of Rs 60,000/-within a period of two weeks from today with the trial Court/Area Magistrate, subject to outcome of the trial and the concerned Court shall deposit the said amount in a fixed deposit in some nationalised bank, fetching maximum rate of interest, during trial.

List along with CRM-M-31974-2025 on 17.09.2025.

However, it is made clear that in case the amount is not deposited as above, the present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed”.

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.



4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 29.07.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section **438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.**

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

17.09.2025

Deepak Patwal

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| 2. <i>Whether reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |