

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****101****COCP-1450-2025 (O&M)****Date of Decision : 25.03.2025**

Abraham John

....Petitioner

VERSUS

K.A.P Sinha And Others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Petitioner – Abraham John – in person.

Mr. Pawan Kumar, DAG Punjab for respondent No.1.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 5.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner for initiation of contempt proceedings against respondent Nos.3 to 11 for violation of orders dated 20.12.2022, 06.1.203 and 21.01.2025 (Annexures P-1, P-2 and P-5).
2. The petition itself is totally incomprehensible inasmuch as one cannot ascertain as to what exactly the petitioner is wanting to convey. Scandalous allegations have been levelled not only against the Advocates, but unnecessary and unwarranted reference has been made about a sitting Judge of this Court.
3. A perusal of the memo of parties reveals that the Advocates, who are on the panel of PSPCL and who may have appeared in various cases filed by the petitioner, have been arrayed as respondent Nos.6 to 11 though they were not party to the cases mentioned by the petitioner in the headnote of the present petition.

4. It is strange that even the Registry did not raise any objection regarding the memo of parties in the present petition notwithstanding the Objections Checklist provided by this Court to the Registry for this purpose. When the matter was brought to the notice of the OSD (Listing), he has brought to the notice of this Court that petitioner has filed 28 different cases including CMs before this Court. The petitioner has also sent various e-mails to this Court from time to time and PUCs thereof were dealt with separately on the administrative side. The OSD (Listing) has further brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioner whenever visits the Registry in connection with his cases misbehaves with the staff of the Registry. In a judicial order (annexure P-5) also the unruly conduct of the petitioner has been noticed, and the Court had refrained from taking any action but has cautioned the petitioner herein to be careful.

5. Faced with the above circumstances, the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present contempt petition. The petitioner is cautioned to refrain from such activities failing which action would be initiated. The registry is also directed to be careful in future and deal with the petitions as per the objections checklist.

6. Dismissed as withdrawn. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

25.03.2025
jk

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO