

[144] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.2306 of 2022
Date of Decision :22.11.2022

Pawan Kumar ...Petitioner

versus

Rajiv Rattan, IAS and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 11.07.2022, in CWP No.6332 of 2021 in case titled as '*Pawan Kumar versus State of Haryana and others*'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.6332 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the respondents to pass a speaking order with regard to the claim of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 24.03.2022 within two months from the date of receipt of the order and in case, after passing of speaking order, the petitioner was found entitled to any relief, to extend the same to him within a period of one month thereafter.

[3] Learned DAG, Haryana, has produced copy of speaking order dated 22.11.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 24.03.2022. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal

thereof states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 22.11.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.11.2022

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*