



CRM-M-53143-2025

-1-

102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53143-2025

Date of Decision: 19.09.2025

RACHNA DEVI RANI ALIAS RANI

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. M.S. Dhami, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS, 2023') seeking grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.112 dated 04.08.2025 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 190, 191 (3) of BNS, 2023 and subsequently added Sections 118(2) and 117(2) of BNS, 2023 vide GD No. 21 dated 10.08.2025 registered at Police Station Balachaur, District S.B.S. Nagar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been wrongly implicated in the present FIR. No grievous injury has been attributed to her but it has been attributed to the co-accused Balwinder Kumar, who is husband of the petitioner. This is a case of version and cross version. It is also mentioned in the G.D. that the accused party has also



CRM-M-53143-2025

-2-

received injuries. Petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel, appearing on advance notice, opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and contended that the petitioner with an intention to kill, caused serious injuries to the complainant on vital parts of the body, which have been recorded in detail in the order passed by the Additional Sessions Judge while dealing with the bail application of the petitioner. None of the accused has been arrested till date, hence, dismissal of the present petition is prayed for.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case that the accused armed with deadly weapon, i.e., *datar* etc. caused grievous injuries to the complainant on his vital parts; no accused has been arrested in the present case till date; investigation is at the initial stage and petitioner is required for custodial interrogation for recovery of weapons, this Court finds no merit in the present petition and the same stands dismissed.

19.09.2025

Sima

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*