

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-16447-2025 (O&M)****Date of decision : 8.4.2025**

Arjun @ Anuj

... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Baljeet Nain, Advocate, for the petitioner

\*\*\*\*\*

**KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Present petition has been filed seeking quashing of order dated 17.1.2025 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Jind, vide which, the bail of the petitioner was cancelled and he was directed to be summoned through non-bailable warrants of arrest in a criminal case having FIR No.395 dated 25.10.2020 registered under Sections 120B, 148, 149, 307, 427 IPC at Police Station Jind Sadar, District Jind on account of his absence before the trial Court on the date fixed.

2. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, *inter alia*, submits that the petitioner was granted regular bail in the aforesaid criminal case by Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 15.3.2021 (Annexure P-2) and thereafter, the petitioner was regularly appearing before the trial Court but due to some unavoidable circumstances, the petitioner failed to appear in the trial Court on 17.1.2025 and this was single default and despite this, learned trial Court while acting in a hasty manner, passed the impugned order (Annexure P-3). It is further submitted that absence of the petitioner on 17.1.2025 before the trial Court was not intentional and actually, his wife was admitted in a hospital and she delivered female child



on 17.1.2025 as is evident from birth certificate Annexure P-4 issued by the doctor of the concerned nursing home. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the proceedings in the trial at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that the petitioner got absented before the trial Court on 17.1.2025 without any intimation and resultantly, impugned order (Annexure P-3) was passed in accordance with law by the Court concerned.

5. In light of Annexure P-4, apparently, it appears that absence of the petitioner before the trial Court on 17.1.2025 was not intentional and it was single default on the part of the petitioner and further, the petitioner himself has come forward showing his willingness to join proceedings before the trial Court at the earliest in order to avoid any further delay in trial.

6. In light of above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with direction to the petitioner to appear before the learned trial Court within a period of next 10 days and on his doing so, the petitioner is to be released on regular bail by the said Court to its own satisfaction. However, it is made clear that even in future, the petitioner is to remain careful and to appear before the trial Court on each and every date of hearing.

**( KARAMJIT SINGH )**  
**JUDGE**

April 8, 2025

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No