



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(129)

CWP No. 9527 of 2025

Date of Decision : 03.04.2025

DAV College Trust and Management Society and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajdeep Singh Cheema, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Gurmeet Kaur Gill, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondent No. 1-UOI.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner-Educational Society is that they did not charge any fee from the Scheduled Caste candidates who were given admission under the Scheduled Caste Scholarship Scheme (Annexure P-1) for the relevant period from 2013-14 to 2016-17 and the petitioner-Educational Society was required to be reimbursed qua the expenses incurred for the period 2013 to 2017, by the State of Punjab.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said dues are not being released without any valid justification hence, the respondents No.2 and 3 be directed to release the same especially when, the claim has



already been raised by the petitioner-Educational Society more than once before the State.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Gurmeet Kaur Gill, Senior Panel Counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1-UOI and Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 3.

5. Learned State Counsel submits that the issue with regard to reimbursement qua the Post-Matric Scholarship Scheme for the Scheduled Caste students to the petitioner-Educational Society, an order has already been passed in a bunch of petitions i.e. CWP-13761-2024 titled as ***Akashdeep & others Vs. State of Punjab & others***, decided on 09.01.2025 wherein certain directions had been given so as to evaluate such claim raised and to disburse the funds as per the aforementioned scheme and liberty has been given to all the institutes to raise their demand and a time-period has already been fixed for disposing of the said claims. Accordingly, the present petition be also disposed of in terms of the same and appropriate decision qua the claim of the petitioners will also be taken in terms of the judgment in ***Akashdeep & others (supra)***.

6. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners are being forced to approach this Court time and again and their claim is not being released on one pretext or the other. Hence, in case the respondents do not comply with the undertaking given in the order passed in ***Akashdeep & others (supra)***, even qua the petitioner, the same be treated as contempt so that, the officers who do not take action, have to explain their conduct.



7. Accordingly, keeping in view the fact that the grievance raised by the petitioners before this Court can be redressed in view of the order passed in *Akashdeep & others (supra)*, the present writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms. It is made clear that in case the directions given by this Court in *Akashdeep & others (supra)* is violated in any manner, apart from any other action to be taken, action will also be taken under the Contempt of Court.

April 03, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No