



CRM-M-54299-2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54299-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.1.2025

Amarjeet Singh

... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal case having FIR No.393 dated 25.9.2024 registered under Sections 21(b) of NDPS Act at Police Station Agroha, District Hisar.

2. The allegations in brief are that the police recovered 5.13 grams of Heroin from co-accused Nanak @ Sony on 25.9.2024 and thereafter, the petitioner was nominated as accused on the basis of the disclosure statement suffered by aforesaid co-accused Nanak alias Sony.

3. On the last date of hearing, detailed order was passed by this Court whereby the petitioner was granted interim bail with direction to join investigation with the police.

4. Counsel for the petitioner brought to the notice of this court that in compliance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined investigation with the police.



CRM-M-54299-2024

5. State counsel on instructions from ASI Ramji Lal made statement that the petitioner, who has joined the investigation, is no longer required by the police for purpose of further investigation. State counsel further submits that the petitioner is already involved in two other cases under NDPS Act.

6. On query being put to the counsel for the petitioner, he submits that in other two cases faced by the petitioner under NDPS Act, the petitioner is already enlarged on bail and this fact has been disclosed by the petitioner in para. 9 of the present petition.

7. In view of the fact that non-commercial quantity of contraband was recovered from co-accused Nanak @ Sony and further, present petitioner is nominated as accused on the basis of the disclosure statement suffered by said co-accused and relevance and admissibility of the said disclosure statement will be tested during trial and furthermore, the petitioner is not required by the police for purpose of further investigation, no purpose is going to be served even if the petitioner is subjected to custodial interrogation at this point of time.

8. In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and order of interim bail dated 29.10.2024 is hereby made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

January 22, 2025

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No