



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-53132-2024  
Date of decision: 23.01.2025

Bhim Singh .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana .....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Ms. Parul Saini, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in case FIR No.530 dated 21.09.2024 under Section 108 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Old Industrial Panipat, District Panipat.

2. On 24.10.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that a perusal of the FIR in question leaves no manner of doubt that only vague allegations have been levelled by the complainant and if at all, any allegations have been levelled, they are against the wife of the deceased. Other than the petitioner being named (who happens to be the uncle of the wife of the deceased) no specific allegations much less any role has been attributed to the petitioner of abetting the suicide of the deceased. A*



CRM-M-53132-2024

*prayer, therefore, has been made for extending the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner as the essential ingredients to attract the mischief of Section 108 BNS are clearly amiss in the present case.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 24.10.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Ramparsad, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. Learned State counsel has, on further, instructions informed the Court that since no substance was found in the allegations levelled in the FIR in question against the petitioner and the other co-accused, a cancellation report had been prepared and submitted to the authorities concerned for approval.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 24.10.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

23.01.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No