



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-48528-2025 (O&amp;M)

Date of decision: 15.10.2025

Ravinder Singh @ Robin @ Billi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Arun Takhi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.**

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 BNS is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0088 dated 08.06.2025, registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 303(2), 333, 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Kamboj, District Amritsar.

2. On 01.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the marriage of the petitioner is fixed for 04.09.2025 and the complainant, who is holding a grudge against him, as he had lodged a FIR No.10 dated 04.05.2025 against him and Others on having caused injuries to him, has falsely implicated him. There is delay of 12 days in lodging the same. No recovery is to be effected from him and he is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.



At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 10.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 15.10.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from Investigating Officer affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. She also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 01.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section **438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)**



6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**

**15.10.2025**

Vishal Vardhan

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No