



**263 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-64064-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 05.05.2025

NAVDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Pawan Attri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Gaganpreet Kaur, Advocate
for Mr. Fateh Saini, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. The Prayer in this petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for the quashing of the FIR No.27 dated 09.02.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 420, 468, 471 IPC and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014 registered at P.S. Cantonment, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 30.08.2023 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

2. The following order was passed on 21.12.2023:

“The Prayer in this petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for the quashing of the FIR No.27 dated 09.02.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 420, 468, 471 IPC and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014 registered at P.S. Cantonment, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 30.08.2023 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the allegations against the petitioner are baseless. In fact both the parties were friendly with each other and consequent to the



registration of the FIR a compromise dated 30.08.2023 (Annexure P-2) has been arrived at between the parties according to which, both the parties have agreed not to proceed further with the FIR in question.

Notice of motion for 24.04.2024.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.1-State of Punjab whereas Mr. Fateh Saini, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondent no.2 and has filed his power of attorney. He does not dispute the above said compromise, which has been arrived at between the parties, according to which, complainant does not wish to press the allegations alleged in the FIR any further.

Keeping in view the above, the parties are directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements on 25.01.2024 with regard to the compromise dated 30.08.2023 (Annexure P-2) by moving an appropriate application or by presenting this order. The Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to submit the report on or before the next date of hearing containing the following information:-

- 1. Number of persons arrayed as accused in the FIR;*
- 2. Whether any accused is a proclaimed offender;*
- 3. Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence;*
- 4. Whether the accused persons are involved in any other FIR or not; and*
- 5. The Trial Court is also directed to record the statement of the Investigating Officer so as to know how many victims/complainants are there in the FIR and all the victims/complainant as well as accused are party to the compromise in question.*

The petitioners shall deposit a cost of Rs.25,000/- with the District Legal Services Authority concerned on or before the date of recording of their statements and produce the receipt of the same to the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate.”

3. Vide orders dated 24.04.2024, 18.09.2024, 05.03.2025 and 04.04.2025, parties were again directed to get their statements recorded in terms of the compromise.



CRM-M-64064-2023 (O&M)

-3-

4. In compliance of the aforesaid orders, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

5. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.27 dated 09.02.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 420, 468, 471 IPC and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014 registered at P.S. Cantonment, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioner.

05.05.2025
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>