



CRWP-8471-2025

1

107

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8471-2025

Date of decision: 20.08.2025

GURSHARAN SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present: Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**SUBHAS MEHLA, J (Oral):**

1. The prayer in the instant criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to take an appropriate action against respondents No.3 to 8 who are harassing and threatening the petitioner and his family by interfering in their peaceful life.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the version of the petitioner is not looked into by the authorities and no action has been taken against the respondents in cross case bearing GD No.004, dated 24.05.2025 under Sections 118(1), 118(2), 115(2), 117, 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Learned State counsel submits that a thorough inquiry has been conducted on the representation of the petitioner and as per the report of the doctor, the injuries are still stated to be disputed. It is a cross version lodged by the petitioner.



CRWP-8471-2025

2

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view contentions of learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel, respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation of the petitioner and take appropriate action in accordance with law. Petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction that if the grievance of the petitioner is not addressed, he will avail appropriate remedies in terms of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court reported as "**Sakiri Vasu vs State of U.P. and others**".

(SUBHAS MEHLA)  
JUDGE

20.08.2025  
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No  
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No