



293 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16591-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.04.2025

SATISH KUMAR

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Naveen Jhajholia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavata, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.0319 dated 22.08.2024 under Sections 120-B/419/420/467/468/471/465 of IPC registered at Police Station Sector 27, Sonipat (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 10.03.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 26.03.2025:

“CRM-12512-2025

Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-M-16591-2025

This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.0319 dated 22.08.2024 under Sections 120-B/419/420/467/468/471/465 of IPC registered at Police Station Sector 27, Sonipat (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 10.03.2025 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 28.04.2025.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his memorandum of appearance and



CRM-M-16591-2025 (O&M)

-2-

admits to the factum of compromise. He is directed to file his power of attorney on or before the next date of hearing. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0319 dated 22.08.2024 under Sections 120-B/419/420/467/468/471/465 of IPC registered at Police Station Sector 27, Sonipat (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioner.

28.04.2025
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>