

204
CRM-M-30429-2024

2025:PHHC:046265



-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30429-2024
Date of decision: 04.04.2025

SATNAM SINGH @ NAHAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Hardial Singh Baath
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
47	28.04.2024	326, 323, 324, 341, 506, 148 and 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on)	City Patti, District Tarn Taran

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 24.06.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. During the course of hearing on 24.06.2024, following order had been passed: -



-2-

“ *The petitioner prays for pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.47, dated 28.04.2024, registered under Sections 326, 323, 324, 341, 506, 148 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, (hereinafter referred to as the ‘IPC’) and later on added 326 IPC, at Police Station Patti, District Tarn Taran.*

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that offence under Section 326 has been subsequently added after a period of approximately 20 days from the date of registration of the FIR. He submits that in fact the petitioner was attacked by first informant and his accomplices and the petitioner while acting in self-defence caused certain injuries. He submits that the petitioner’s cross-version has not been registered by the police.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vishnav Gandhi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State and Sh. Vikas Gupta, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

Adjourned to 29.07.2024.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on the interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Dilbagh Singh informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 24.06.2024 passed by this Court, interim



bail granted vide order dated 24.06.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

04.04.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |