



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

FAO No. 986 of 2003
DATE OF DECISION :- 29.09.2025

Satbir

...Appellant

Versus

Neeraj

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Arun Singal, Advocate for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. R.C. Gupta, Advocate for Mr. Sanjiv Pabbi, Advocate
for respondent-NIC.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. No one has appeared on behalf of the appellant. As per office report, Mr. Satpal Bhasin, Advocate has been informed about the date fixed but no one has come present. It seems that appellant is not interested in pursuing the instant appeal.

2. In view of the above and given the prevailing circumstances, there seems to be no justification to keep the appeal on the docket, indefinitely. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

29.09.2025

P.Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No