



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

CRM-M-64916-2024
Decided on : 19.02.2025

Parveen Kumar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner – Parveen Kumar, aged about 66 years, has filed instant petition for issuing directions to the Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bhagha Purana, District Moga, to take appropriate action against the private respondents in Complaint No.16 of 2024, titled as, “Parveen Kumar v. Satnam Singh”, which was filed under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., for an incident, which took place on 01.04.2024.

2. Submission is that despite passing of several months, neither any action was taken, nor the status report is submitted, hence, present petition has been filed before this Court. Thereupon, on the previous date of hearing, i.e. 20.12.2024, Coordinate Bench of this Court called for status report from the Court concerned. In response thereto, vide letter No.133, dated 05.02.2025, from Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bagha Purana, status report has been forwarded to this Court, wherein, it has been mentioned that the SHO had filed a detailed report along with plethora of annexures, but neither the applicant/complainant ‘Parveen Kumar’



(petitioner herein) nor his counsel appeared before the Court. Rather, on written request of clerk of the counsel, proceedings were deferred for 11.02.2025.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the instructions received by him from his client, final decision has been taken by Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, whereby, application filed under Section 156(3) of Cr.P.C., has been disposed of, by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a complaint, if so advised/wishes.

Thus, counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not wish to press the present petition at this stage, and same be disposed of accordingly.

4. Heard.

5. On the basis of factual aspects recorded here-above, and the stand taken by counsel for the petitioner, for not pressing the prayer through instant petition, same is **disposed of being not pressed**.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail the recourse of alternative remedy, as available to him, in accordance with law before the appropriate form.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

February 19, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No