

114+115

2023:PHHC:042844

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 23.03.2023

1. COCP-1321-2022 (O&M)

Kewal Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Kulwinder Singh Virk and ors.

.. Respondents

2. COCP-1323-2022 (O&M)

Jaswant Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Kulwinder Singh Virk and ors.

.. Respondents

3. COCP-1329-2022 (O&M)

Satnam Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Kulwinder Singh Virk and ors.

.. Respondents

**4. COCP-1312-2022 (O&M)**

**Gurmukh Singh**

**... Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**Kulwinder Singh Virk and ors.**

**.. Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present: Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate for  
Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

Mr. R.K. Gupta, Advocate  
for respondents No.3 to 9.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner(s) allege violation of the order dated 10.07.2017, vide which status quo regarding possession was directed to be maintained.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that BDPO, Village Dhilwan, District Kapurthala, in violation of the aforesaid order, sought information from the Gram Panchayat, Village Mand Chakoki, District Kapurthala as to why the action is not being taken.

As per compliance affidavits of DDPO, Kapurthala as well as of Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Chakoki, Tehsil Dhilwan, District Kapurthala, in

fact only a query was put by BDPO, who has been suspended and neither any auction proceedings took place nor any effort to take possession was made.

In view of the above, no willful disobedience is made out.

Accordingly, both these petitions are dismissed.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the filed of other connected cases.

**[ ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN ]**  
**JUDGE**

23.03.2023  
*vishnu*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No