



110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CM-13900-CII-2025 in/and
CM-13901-CII-2025 in/and
CM-15821-CII-2025 in
CR-2544-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.10.2025

Bant Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Bhajan Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. J.S. Kang, Advocate for the petitioner.
(Through Video Conferencing)

Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate and
Ms. Rajinder Kaur, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

CM-13900-CII-2025

1. This is an application filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 58 days in filing the application for restoration of the main petition.

2. For the reasons stated in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit, the present application is allowed and delay of 58 days in filing the application for restoration of the main petition is condoned.

CM-13901-CII-2025

1. This is an application filed under Order 9 Rule 9 CPC read with Section 151 CPC for restoration of the case and recalling of order dated 21.04.2025.

2. For the reasons stated in the application which is duly supported



by an affidavit, the present application is allowed and order dated 21.04.2025 is recalled and the main case is restored to its original number and is taken on Board today itself for final disposal.

CM-15821-CII-2025

1. This is an application filed under Order 22 Rule 3 of CPC for impleading the legal representatives of petitioner.
2. For the reasons stated in the application which is supported by an affidavit, the present application is allowed and legal representatives of petitioner are ordered to be impleaded as party subject to just exceptions and solely for the purpose of pursuing the main petition and the same would not be construed as an adjudication on the entitlement of the estate of petitioner.
3. Amended memo of parties is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

1. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 4 has submitted that in the present case, the main suit itself has been decided and thus, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.
2. In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
3. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

01.10.2025

Pawan

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-**

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**