



RA-30-CII-2004 in  
CR-570-2004

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(205)

RA-30-CII-2004 in  
CR-570-2004

Date of Decision:- 07.02.2025

DARSHAN SINGH ETC

.....Applicant

Versus

UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO LTD ETC

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

\*\*\*\*

Present: None.

\*\*\*\*

**ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)**

1. This Court vide order dated 16.01.2025 passed the following order:

*“The present revision petition was filed against order dated 18.10.2003, whereby application filed by the applicant/petitioner under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC was dismissed. Subsequently, the revision petition was also dismissed by this Court on 02.02.2004. Hence, the present review application which is pending for the last twenty one (21) years and the only ground taken in the review is that order dated 02.02.2004 is not the detailed one. The order dated 02.02.2004 is reproduced as under:-*

*“Heard and perused the impugned order. There is no illegality or infirmity in the same.*

*Dismissed”*



RA-30-CII-2004 in  
CR-570-2004

2

*The order is absolutely clear as the Court held that the impugned order has no illegality or infirmity and there is no apparent error in the said order. The petitioner always has a right to challenge the same in accordance with law but the review has a very limited scope.*

*However, since there is no representation on behalf of the applicant / petitioner, the matter is adjourned to 07.02.2025.*

*Let the counsel for the applicant/petitioner be notified of the date fixed.”*

2. Subsequent thereto, as per the office report, letter has been issued to the counsel for the petitioner about the date fixed but still there is no representation on behalf of the applicant-petitioner.

3. In light of the above, the review application is dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(ALOK JAIN)**  
**JUDGE**

**07.02.2025**

*Parul*

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No