



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-6771-2025**

**Date of decision: 25.08.2025**

**MOHINDERPAL AND ANR**

**...PETITIONERS**

**Versus**

**PIRAMAL CAPITAL FINANCE LIMITED AND ORS**

**...RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: Mr. Puneet Sharma Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanya Trehan, Advocate for  
Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate for respondent No.1.

\* \* \* \*

**SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioners-borrowers contends that the loan account has been settled and the remaining installments have been rescheduled.

The petitioners have a remedy to approach the Bank for settling their loan account or the DRT as the case may be, hence, the petition stands disposed of to avail appropriate remedy available to the petitioner.

**(SHEEL NAGU)  
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**25.08.2025**  
kanika

**(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No  
Yes/No*