

2025:PHHC:052910



S. No.205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CRA-S-71-SB of 2010 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision:24.04.2025**

**Balbir Dass @ Beera**

**.....Appellant**

**Vs.**

**State of Punjab**

**.....Respondent**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present:- Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate for the appellant.  
Mr. Rajiv K. Takkar, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)**

Appellant- Balbir Dass @ Beera was tried by Ld. Special Court, Nawanshahr in a case arising out of FIR No.302 dated 12.10.2004 under Section 18 of the NDPS Act registered at Police Station City Nawanshahr, as he was found in possession of 250 grams of opium. After trial, the appellant was convicted under Section 18 of the NDPS Act vide judgment dated 24.12.2009 by the trial Court and was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of one year and to pay fine of ₹500/- with default sentence of 02 months rigorous imprisonment in case of non-payment of fine.

2. Against the abovesaid conviction and sentence, this appeal was filed.

3. Today learned counsel for the appellant stated at the outset that appellant do not press the appeal against the judgment of conviction; and that appellant confine his prayer only against order of sentence. It is submitted that appellant would be satisfied, in case he is sentenced to imprisonment for the period already undergone by him.

4. Learned counsel points out that offence pertains to the year 2004; that appellant was of 44 years at that time; that appellant had already undergone



two months of his actual sentence as per order dated 12.01.2010 passed by this Court, he deserve to be sentenced for the period already undergone by him.

5. Learned State Counsel has not seriously objected to the aforesaid prayer.

6. The appellant had already undergone two months of his actual sentence as per order dated 12.01.2010 passed by this Court. He was of 44 years of age at the time of offence, which had taken place way back in 2004 i.e. 21 years back.

7. In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, it will be in the interest of justice, if the period of imprisonment is reduced to the period already undergone by the appellant, instead of sending him behind bars in the company of hardened criminals.

8. Consequently, the present appeal is partly accepted. By maintaining the impugned judgment against conviction, the order of sentence as passed by the trial Court is modified and the appellant is sentenced to imprisonment for the period already undergone by him. As far as fine is concerned, it will remain same.

9. However, it is made clear that amount of fine, if not paid earlier, shall be deposited before learned Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned, within a period of four weeks from today, failing which the appellant will have to carry out the complete sentence as imposed by the trial Court.

Disposed of.

**April 24, 2025**  
**renu**

**( DEEPAK GUPTA )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No  
Whether Reportable Yes/No