

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 2177 of 2017

Date of Decision: 16.10.2019

Dr. S.N. Sardana

.....Petitioner

Versus

Dr. Satish Kumar Agarwal and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vinod Chaudhri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG Haryana
assisted by Mr. Ram Bhagat, CMO and
Dr. Tina Anand, SMO, CHC Ganaur, Sonapat.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

The only dispute surviving in the present petition is with regard to calculation of consequential benefits arising as a result of grant of Second Assured Career Progression Scheme (ACP) . The grievance of the petitioner is that the amount has not been correctly calculated. The details of the calculations as per the respondents has been annexed as Annexure R-4 with affidavit dated 8.3.2019 of Dr. Gulshan Rai Arora.

In order to resolve the controversy, let the petitioner file a detailed representation with his calculations. The respondents shall decide the same in accordance with law after providing an opportunity by passing a reasoned order. Let the representation and calculation be filed within four weeks from today and the respondents shall take a decision on the same within eight weeks thereafter.

The contempt petition is disposed of.

It is, however, clarified that in case the petitioner is still aggrieved of the order passed, he shall be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

16.10.2019

reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No